

	Average	Answers	1	2	3	4	5
3. Did the Symposium sufficiently bridge engineering and biology	3,7	115	4	5	30	59	17
4. What is your opinion of the Poster Sessions	3,85	110	1	6	25	55	23
5. How would you rate the organisation of ESBES-3							
(a) Before the Symposium	4,19	124	0	1	21	49	51
(b) During the Symposium	4,45	121	0	4	6	54	61
(c) The Symposium lecture facilities	3,81	117	3	1	26	39	37
(d) The Accommodation/arrangements	4	97	1	2	19	40	32
(e) The Lunches	4,38	121	0	5	13	43	63
(f) The Welcoming reception	4,1	100	0	12	21	45	33
(g) The Symposium dinner	4,11	107	2	0	18	39	44
(h) The Reception at the City Hall	3,98	97	0	3	8	40	48
6. What is your overall impression of the Symposium	4,22	116	0	1	9	70	36
7. Please indicate your affiliations	Answers	%					
Industry	28	22					

Academy	80	64
Other	18	14
Particularly interesting presentations:		
Peter Sandøe: "Ethical Perspectives on Biotechnology"	23	
Gunnar Lidén: "Understanding the Bioreactor"	20	
Jörg Thömmes: "Expanded Bed Adsorption"	18	
Thomas Scheper: "Development of Novel Bioanalytical Systems for Bioprocess Monitoring"	17	
Hermann Sahn: "Metabolic Engineering of Corynebacterium for the Production of L-lysine"	12	
Peter Roepstorff: "Mass Spectrometry in protein Studies from Genome to Function"	12	
Jeff Shuster: "Biological Products Through Functional Genomics"	11	
Comments Poster Sessions:		
<p>High quality – but: The room was too small and there was no time enough All posters should hang through the whole conference Room too crowded – The poster boards were very close together More should bring handouts The help was excellent A competition for young scientists would be good</p>		
Additional Comments:		
Symposium Dinner:		
Too minimalistic, should have been transport back, should have been some entertainment and music, great canal tour		
Scientific Programme:		
More about Food science. quality of lectures very high. excellent programme – good speakers. more Downstream processing.		

more process integration, more session too focused on DK, more presentations by young scientists, more focus on ethics, many lectures in Berlin, the speakers at ESBES often the same, some more "Strange birds", more workshops, more short presentations

AV-equipment:

Problems with projector – could not see bottom of screen, screen too low.

Lecture rooms:

Could not see from the back, bad chairs, flat auditorium poor, no place for writing during sessions

Lilly Award

Too small a jury, the jury a farce

Accommodation

Prices too high compared to quality

Other comments:

Student discounts, excellent organised, well run, lively atmosphere, the committee and helpers did a tremendous job, top class international conference, the conference should not grow any bigger, good organisation, good hospitality, very well prepared, very professional, everything was perfect!